

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,807	01/25/2002	Jon Ocel	M190.134.101	9381
27581 7590 02/20/2007 MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARK			EXAMINER	
			VRETTAKOS, PETER J	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NÚMBER
			3739	,
	DAY DEPLOY OF DESIDENCE	MAIL DATE	DEI WED	V VODE
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/056,807	OCEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1-4-(</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 54 and 56-71 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 54 and 56-71 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-4-7:	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 54 and 56-71 are pending.

The action is final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 54 and 56-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakikaido et al. (6,451,014) in view of Jackson et al. (5,383,874).

Wakikaido discloses a medical device for ablation (coagulation) with a rigidly coupled manually graspable handle (13), a metal (col. 6:66) malleable (as provided by spring member 30) elongated shaft (9) with a pivoting joint (20) with pins (26), non-conductive material (21, figure 2, col. 7:42-44), a slidable (see patented claim 1 – movable support 20 with electrode that can be bent and stretched in the direction of the longitudinal axis of the support shaft) rounded/uniform radius of curvature distal tip portion (col. 6:57-63, "ball-like") with opening/hole (holding electrode 5), a remote actuator (slot 44), and a passage/internal lumen (to permit coaxial cable (10) or spring member (30)).

The patent discloses a slot/slide (42,44 in figure 6). Regarding claims 60-63, these are obvious design choices for actuators in light of the patented actuator (42,44).

Art Unit: 3739

Further, the Applicant neglects to disclose criticality or unexpected results in choosing one actuator design over the other. (The Office views these actuators as obvious of each other and seamlessly substitutable.)

Wakikaido discloses no light connected to a power source.

Jackson discloses in an analogous ablation system, a light connected to a power source (col. 6:6-8), as well as sensors (col. 7:22-24) and switches/activators (see display figure 1).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wakikaido in view of Jackson to include a light attached to the Wakikaido power source in order (motivation) to warn a user if power application is outside of a predetermined range as disclosed in Jackson col. 6:6-8.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The prior art discloses a light/switch on the power source instead of the medical device as claimed by the Applicant. Case law evinces that the difference is not patentable. *In re Japikse*, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950) (Claims to a hydraulic power press which read on the prior art except with regard to the position of the starting switch were held unpatentable because shifting the position of the starting switch would not have modified the operation of the device.); *In re Kuhle*, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975) (the particular placement of a

Art Unit: 3739

contact in a conductivity measuring device was held to be an obvious matter of design choice).

The <u>motivation</u> to combine the prior art above is to warn a user of Wakikaido if power application is outside of a predetermined range as disclosed in Jackson col. 6:6-8.

Further, case law addresses the instance of making prior art portable (hand-held): *In re Lindberg*, 194 F.2d 732, 93 USPQ 23 (CCPA 1952) (Fact that a claimed device is portable or movable is not sufficient by itself to patentably distinguish over an otherwise old device unless there are new or unexpected results.).

Applicant's amendment **necessitated** the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3739

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pete Vrettakos February 10, 2007 ROY D. GIESON
PRIMARY EXAMINER